Technium Vol. 24, pp.93-102 (2024)
ISSN: 2668-778X
www.techniumscience.com

The Role of Gamification in Enhancing Learning through Neuropsychological Tools: A Narrative Analysis

Hera Antonopoulou*

Department of Management Science and Technology

University of Patras, Greece

hera@upatras.gr

*Corresponding Author: E-mail: hera@upatras.gr

Abstract

This paper addresses the use of gamification in educational settings with the help of neuropsychological tools. It traces the theoretical foundations of neuropsychology and gamification, and which cognitive processes are affected by these methods to improve learning. This article is going to present design principles on how to combine gamification with neuropsychological tools and analyze their efficacy in education. It also identifies the ethical considerations that are central to the implementation of these tools. It further discusses advanced technologies, including artificial intelligence and virtual reality, for their potential in personalized neuropsychological rehabilitation and educational interventions. This paper emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in overcoming challenges and developing innovative solutions in this field by providing a comprehensive review of current research spanning education, neuroscience, and technology.

Keywords: *Gamification, Neuropsychological tools, Learning enhancement, Cognitive processes, Educational technology, Artificial intelligence, Virtual reality, Personalized rehabilitation, Ethical considerations*

1. Introduction

Neuropsychological tools offer a new approach that may increase learning skills because they are based on the structural properties of human minds, the limits of memory and attention, the management of processing rates, and the cognitive architecture of our domain-general system. On the other hand, gamification integrates game-design elements into a non-game context to engage the user, encourage desired behaviors, and improve the learning process and the concept of teaching and learning through the game. This concept has been known since the beginning of time during ancient civilizations, and it was described as 'using learning to play with the world.' In addition, the use of play has psychological and cognitive implications for human beings because it is studied by two overlapping areas of interest: psychologists interested in what makes human beings play, and game developers interested in the application of these conditions for technology-centered, learning-oriented management and other diverse areas [1-4]. Many psychological attributes and faculties are trained by gaming, some of them intentionally and rationally included in the game, while others are by-products of the game, not always intentionally and rationally included. Because playing activities can be understood as neuropsychological tools, this paper, armed with neuropsychological principles and the concept of gamification, deliberates various components of gamification design to highlight how these tools, when interacted with play, can be systematically integrated into the educational environment to produce cognitively, affectively, motivationally, and behaviorally intelligent graduates. The paper is organized as follows: first, we discuss the interface between neuropsychological tools and play, followed by its evolution in historical context. The following sections define the scope of the narrative study, which is ultimately an in-depth exploration of games, neuropsychological tools, and gamification to be presented subsequently [5-10].

Technium Vol. 24, pp.93-102 (2024)
ISSN: 2668-778X
www.techniumscience.com

2. Theoretical Frameworks in Neuropsychology and Gamification

The design of computer-aided learning frameworks relies on various theoretical frameworks in neuropsychology and gamification. In cognitive theory, learners are at the heart of a cognitive structure, and since knowledge is primarily situated in the mind of the learner, manipulation of the learning environment is important for effective instruction. It can create a variety of learning opportunities. A blend of process models, activity theory, social constructivism, communities of practice, connectivism, learning analytics, self-regulated learning, and neuropsychology provides an interesting approach to developing instructional techniques. The cognitive environment theory explains that learners' pre-established goals, as well as the emphasis and strategic application of their pre-existing experience and knowledge, affect the rewards that catch their interest, which in turn determine which remedies they take. The interface between neuropsychology, instructional environment, instructional domain, learning styles, and the practical collection of data to improve the entire process is the foundation for cognitive environment theory [11-15]. According to a theory of neuropsychological cognitive development, the engram is the neuropsychological unit that underlies connections between nerve cells that make up memory. The learning experience is significantly in the form of scientific and functional orientation, with tangibility being a definite educational priority. In neuropsychology, long-term memory is commonly understood as information that is stored in the brain and available when the need arises. When it comes to behavioral learning, operant method neuropsychology takes a different view of learning when compared to cognitive approaches. It focuses on information processing in the brain and provides criteria to support scientific reasons for a program's requirements. Lowered self-esteem, self-worth, and the feeling that the learner has not learned something more particular are the primary factors. Emphasis is placed on both the self-esteem of the instructor and the self-esteem of the learner. A learner's self-esteem is influenced by the learning environment. The need for adventure was first emphasized in 1938. The work also reinforces the importance of experience in creating an atmosphere of readiness or encouragement [16-21].

The Intersection of Neuropsychology and Gamification in Education

Given the non-homogeneous nature of today’s classrooms, learners are becoming more individualized and differentiated. In response, neuropsychology, the study of the relationship between brain function and behavior, can inform instruction and design by contextually engaging all varieties of diverse learners. Certainly, a relevant impact of critical educational neuroscience suggests that engaging with neuropsychological knowledge during teaching can be beneficial for educators as well as for their students. Likewise, gamified learning, the use of video games in educational settings, is a technique to engage learners and involve them in the learning process. Game-based learning or educational learning games have also been mentioned as games and play-related experiences to facilitate learning objectives or learning contexts at the expense of some of their gaming features. While using games in education is not a novel idea, the difference in today’s digital games is attracting enormous attention due to their serious and immersive nature [22-26]. Both gamification and educational neuroscience are costly pedagogical practices. To fully benefit the educational field, it is vital for practitioners to understand the theoretical view and how to use it in practice. Several case studies exist. In one study, kindergarten teachers used a game-based pedagogical intervention to facilitate collaboration, enhance socio-emotional skills, and academic performance. Using a serious game to teach neuropsychological concepts was another study, which has been applied to master's level students. Preliminary evidence suggests that the teaching was effective. In the educational field, gamification is utilized as a complementary strategy for transferring neuropsychological concept knowledge to learners. Engagement and motivation increased when teaching neuropsychological concepts via games in master's level students, confirming the pioneering postulates that game authority will facilitate learning about non-game domains [27-31].

Understanding the Cognitive Processes Involved in Learning Enhancement through Gamification

Gamification, as a theoretical framework to design educational content, has the potential to enhance learning processes and improve academic outcomes. Several cognitive functions are linked to learning enhancement via gamification. First, sustained attention, or the degree to which a person maintains their

attention on different channels and time frames, is closely related to cognitive engagement in the learning process. Another important area of cognitive functioning is the cognitive capacity of the learner. Higher working memory is positively linked to improved mathematical problem-solving and academic performance. Additionally, novelty plays a significant role in cognitive processes linked to learning. Novel information, activities, and concepts capture a learner's attention and are likely to boost memory and recall [32-36]. When educators gamify their course, they quite consciously make use of these basic gamified elements and their impacts. The most popular game mechanics under the gamification framework are closely related or commonly affect the cognitive engagement of the learner. For example, a point system and the standings of those points on a leaderboard can capture attention. To get more, or stay ahead, learners become more interested in learning and possibly try harder to engage in activities. Similarly, earning badges and designing their personal avatars are most likely a novel activity. The effects of game mechanics engage a person cognitively. Moreover, several empirical studies have shown how incorporating gamification positively influences cognitive processes. Furthermore, conventional instruction often carries some cognitive processes that fail or do not facilitate any of these basic cognitive functions. It can also lead to boredom, which causes attention deficit, learned helplessness, and dissatisfaction in students [37-39]. Although incorporating these basic gamified elements and mechanics is crucial, the integration of cutting-edge neuroscience findings into gamification engineering is paramount. Neuroscience tools can give a comprehensive picture of how the learning process affects cognitive processes and helps to figure out, diagnose, and monitor students' attitudes toward a particular learning task that does not directly measure cognitive processes. Studies show that EEG signals can be a neural correlation in working memory tasks. Research has found that alpha-frequency oscillations are a marker of cognitive attention in learning tasks that could measure when the difficulty and attention in the game decline, showing distraction. Moreover, studies examine the function of multi-channel electronic signals as an objective method of reporting learners' responses to educational software. They reliably report activity transmitted through the CNS neurons or portable amplifiers in response to a graphical presentation of neurofeedback from stimuli in real-time [40-44].

Design Principles for Gamified Neuropsychological Tools

A gamified neuropsychological tool must be well-designed to be effective, engaging, and suitable for the purpose of learning. It is essential that educators work with game developers to ensure game mechanics fit their educational objectives. Technical factors related to game functionality and compatibility are necessary to consider providing a satisfactory user experience. Characteristics related to the game's operation, such as interface design, feedback mechanisms, playability, and overall attractiveness, are important factors in holding people's attention. It is also important that the game provides challenges for players to overcome and avoids repetitive play or a long learning curve. The game needs to motivate people to play repeatedly, and this is achieved by gameplay characteristics such as competition, cooperation, and the importance or relevance of in-game achievements [45-47]. A useful reward system, in which rewards and objectives are properly balanced, will provide learners with the correct motivational environment to increase their motivation and concentration in the learning process. In creating an effective and engaging gamified tool, it is very difficult to find the right balance between educational content provision and gameplay that is interesting and motivating. If the balance is not quite right on release, adding educational features to a pre-existing game or applying a game platform to an educational task can also be an influential method. A cycle of iteration and testing of learning outcomes may be useful in refining a first pass at a gamified teaching application. User testing may determine if the initial version is engaging and entertaining enough to motivate the users to complete enough game tasks to have an educational benefit. Case examples illustrating how these design issues were addressed as part of the setup of the paradigm will be discussed later [48-52].

Assessment and Evaluation of Gamified Neuropsychological Tools in Educational Settings

Gamified neuropsychological tools have proliferated in K-12 educational settings, with numerous studies referencing increases in learning outcomes. As such, it is important to assess and evaluate these tools to scientifically determine if they are meeting these goals. There are seven potential areas of consideration when conducting an evaluation framework: learning facilitation and learning theories; user engagement; affective behavior adoption; user perspective; construct assessment; technology acceptance; and finally, impact on the user. There are obvious connections to learning when assessing neuropsychological tools, yet an extension of

that, user engagement and user perspective can be useful for gamified neuropsychological tools that ultimately are impacted in larger contexts through the lens of 'retention.' With a focus on the learning impact and user perspective, proceeding to validate these measurement tools against pre-determined expectations, providing a guide to strengths and weaknesses is a reasonable assessment for educational settings [53-57]. In educational contexts, multiple assessment methodologies are utilized when validating interventions. Three broad approaches are qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods. Qualitative approaches will use open instruction, diaries, focus groups, and interviews to explore the programs or interventions from the participants' perspective. Quantitative approaches can use large data sets and a variety of statistical techniques and research designs. Lastly, mixed methods with a range of approaches; a high level of certainty can thus be obtained by 'triangulation' of data from different sources to examine research questions or hypotheses. The process of validating educational interventions must not be overlooked, especially when implementing new technological or neuropsychological advancements. With this investment of time and resources built into neuropsychological games, it is important to determine their capacity for educationally based gaming and the success in learning outcomes. Feedback from assessments often informs the intervention post-study, especially in innovative contexts like educational gaming, targeting when there is potential for iterative designs that may promote users to report negative impacts, but also positive experiences, to inform and direct game development. Case studies demonstrating successful assessment implementations have been discussed regarding educational gamified interventions, exploring initial validity and efficacy of the game or game component. Usability testing and measuring the effect on engagement have identified further improvements in the game that could be made for future releases. The importance of measuring this unique construct in every intervention to understand if learning gains are being made or if instruction is just enjoyable is crucial. Providing both qualitative and quantitative support for their validation has benefited the intervention itself and the validity of the results. Identifying new solutions to head off measurement challenges in novel areas like gamified interventions is the next step for educational assessment; going forward, recommendations state that the implementation of educational games should include committed gamified experiences and programmatic research, which may also follow in the assessment area, given that the intervention itself will follow [58-61].

Ethical Considerations in the Use of Gamification in Neuropsychology

Due to the impact and success of integrating gamified tools in educational settings, neuropsychologists are also experimenting with gamification to develop their intervention tools. However, the burgeoning use of gamification in neuropsychology also raises potential pitfalls and ethical dilemmas. When designing and using gamified interventions, educators and developers should consider the ethics involved to find a balance between user engagement and ethical responsibilities. When the game mediates players' affective and cognitive states in pursuit of engagement and/or persuasion, several ethical considerations must be considered. From the perspective of the participants, users require consent for any interventions designed for them. Neuropsychological practice must also ensure user well-being and prevent any potential harmful effects. Lastly, when games require users to record themselves, ethical principles of handling personal data come into play. Taken together, these cases suggest that ethical, transparent, and reflective practice is more important when designing gamified interventions in neuropsychology, where potential individual and societal consequences are even more pronounced in the educational context [62-66]. Even though gamification stands as an innovative term in today's common sense, practitioners should bring other ethical considerations to light when they adopt neuropsychologically inspired methods for enhancing learning. While no consensus on the ethical implications of using gamification for interventions in neuropsychology has been reached to date, four interrelated themes are suggested for practitioners. First, principles aiming to safeguard data privacy must be respected when the components of neuropsychological knowledge are put into gamification practice. Second, transparency in what the gamification practices hope to induce as well as in the underlying game mechanics might encourage more responsible behavior. Third, while the mere presence of rewards could be double-valent depending on one's own theoretical stance, learners should not be subject to any form of manipulation with it. Fourth and finally, practitioners can also focus on designing interventions aimed at developing certain cognitive skills in a ludic form. In this part, educational platforms provide two use cases that, though philosophically contended, allow practitioners to gauge the nuances of involving potential advances or pitfalls when gamifying learners' cognitive development [67-72].

3. Future Directions

The novelty of targeting gamified neuropsychological tools using advanced technologies is the trending topic of this era. The most talked-about technologies include artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and personalized technologies. Artificial intelligence is the most intriguing due to its future potential and consequent implications on relatively effective IT techniques. It is simply a possible ability to think and reason like humans. Deep learning based on neural networks, machine learning, and natural language generation are predictive tools for personalized medicine that facilitate a more accurate analysis of complex and scarce sample data. Therefore, it is expected that these facilities would aid in the establishment of highly sophisticated personalized neuropsychological rehabilitation tools. Furthermore, it is assumed that artificial intelligence might assist in the integration of virtual reality-encased neuropsychological rehabilitation to perform the top-down effect of neural recovery. Innovations in the field can foster personalized learning via adaptive gamification or intelligent tutoring [73-77]. It is essential for future scholars to work with experts in relevant fields such as psychology, the gaming industry, tool developers, and biomedical science for truly path-breaking methods to develop this concept. Various technological and market prerequisites that may alter the nature of the game might emerge, along with psychological, pedagogical, and cultural tendencies that writers do not always foresee. From the point of view of training and neuropsychological research, we are currently discussing eye tracking and biosensor technology, which allow the participants' real-time reactions to be documented and sent back to adjust. With current technological progress, it is important to explore how and in what direction education can be processed and find neuropsychological benefits, of course considering access and equity to the new technology. Evidence should be created by neuropsychological experts on this frontier of future work. Therefore, this paper aims not only to summarize the existing knowledge of those gamified neuropsychological tools in the light of neuroscience but also to serve as a guide for novel researchers who can propose new ideas for future gamified neuropsychological tools [78-82].

4. Conclusion

Neuropsychological research is pivotal in explaining the positive effect of implementing gamification in the learning process. The findings underscore the importance of integrating game-based neuropsychological features in the design and development of gamified activities. The practical implications of this paper offer guidance for educational practice, primarily in informal educational or instructional settings. However, educators or instructional designers can integrate such features into a formal learning scenario, including collaborative or blended learning activities.

It is essential to recognize the optimum use of gamified strategies to enhance learning. There are several implications from this work in courses on gamified strategies in the blended learning environment. First, educators can integrate a variety of game-based strategies to promote students' learning engagement, motivation, and academic success. It is also crucial for educators to design and select gamified strategies and assess their ease of use in enhancing the learning process. Educators who find that implementing a certain gamified strategy is easy should be able to connect it with other human abilities, thus ensuring a better learning outcome. In addition, neuropsychological tools have the support of neuroscience. Although the relationship is still rare, this could be the basis for continuing the integration of neuroscience in designing gamification.

It should be emphasized that, while we recognize the many potential benefits of gamification for enhancing educational applications, including increasing performance, teamwork effectiveness, as well as motivation and loyalty, the issues surrounding the implementation of gamification persist. First, it is essential to prove that the use of game elements in a non-gaming context can indeed improve learning outcomes. Second, building interdisciplinary studies between the fields of education, computer science, and neuropsychology has become a challenge today. Collaboration between education, computer science, and neuropsychologists in the design of gamification of learning can support the full implementation of this strategy in the learning process. Finally, building gamification and neuropsychological learning as an area of interdisciplinary study between the three fields will provide a broad view of students' learning needs. It will provide a new perception of gamification and neuropsychological learning that can be applied in learning.

5. References

[1] Yamani, H. A. (2021). A conceptual framework for integrating gamification in e-learning systems based on instructional design model. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Online), 16(4), 14. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i04.15693
[2] Antonopoulou, H. (2023). Evolutionary Features of Personality Research and Leadership Traits. A Comprehensive Analysis. Technium Business and Management, 6, 58–69. https://doi.org/10.47577/business.v6i.9717
[3] Staller, M. S., & Koerner, S. (2021). Beyond classical definition: The non-definition of gamification. SN Computer Science. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00472-4
[4] Xu, W., Xu, G. R., & Xing, Q. W. (2024). The impact of different combinations of game elements for gamified learning in higher education on student learning outcomes: a multilevel meta-analysis. Studies in Higher Education. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2416498
[5] Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulos, C., Gkintoni, E., & Katsimpelis, A. (2022). Application of gamification tools for identification of neurocognitive and social function in distance learning education. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 21(5), 367-400. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.21.5.19
[6] Kourtesis, P., Collina, S., Doumas, L. A., & MacPherson, S. E. (2021). Validation of the Virtual Reality Everyday Assessment Lab (VR-EAL): An immersive virtual reality neuropsychological battery with enhanced ecological validity. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 27(2), 181-196. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617720000764
[7] Marcotte, T. D., Schmitter-Edgecombe, M., & Grant, I. (2022). Neuropsychology of everyday functioning. [HTML].
[8] Antonopoulou, H. (2024). The Value of Emotional Intelligence: Self-Awareness, Self-Regulation, Motivation, and Empathy as Key Components. Technium Education and Humanities, 8, 78–92. https://doi.org/10.47577/teh.v8i.9719
[9] Passalacqua, M., Léger, P. M., Nacke, L. E., Fredette, M., Labonté-Lemoyne, É., Lin, X., ... & Sénécal, S. (2020). Playing in the backstore: interface gamification increases warehousing workforce engagement. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 120(7), 1309-1330. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2019-0458
[10] Sutcliffe, R., Du, K., & Ruffman, T. (2020). Music making and neuropsychological aging: a review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.03.026
[11] Antonopoulou, H. (2023). Building up Leadership Skills in Vulnerable Social Groups. Case Study in Bipolar Disorder and Psychoeducation Contribution. Technium Business and Management, 6, 70–79. https://doi.org/10.47577/business.v6i.9718
[12] Malik, A. A., Chotpatiwetchkul, W., Phanus-Umporn, C., Nantasenamat, C., Charoenkwan, P., & Shoombuatong, W. (2021). StackHCV: a web-based integrative machine-learning framework for large-scale identification of hepatitis C virus NS5B inhibitors. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 35, 1037-1053. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-021-00418-1
[13] Onofrei, G., & Ferry, P. (2020). Reusable learning objects: a blended learning tool in teaching computer-aided design to engineering undergraduates. International Journal of Educational Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-12-2019-0418
[14] Antonopoulou, H. (2024). Personality Traits and the Growth of Emotional Intelligence. A Systematic Evaluation. Technium Education and Humanities, 6, 173–184. https://doi.org/10.47577/teh.v6i.9442
[15] Bakalis, A., Halkiopoulos, C., & Antonopoulou, H. (2024). The Digital Transformation of Tourism. Case Study of Greek Tourism. Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics, 121–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54338-8_9
[16] Giannoulis, A., Theodorakopoulos, L., & Antonopoulou, H. (2022). Learning in Second-Chance Schools during COVID-19 Case Study: Legal Framework and Distance Learning Platforms in Greek Prison. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4132811
[17] Ryan, T. J., Ortega-de San Luis, C., Pezzoli, M., & Sen, S. (2021). Engram cell connectivity: an evolving substrate for information storage. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 67, 215-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2021.01.006
[18] Josselyn, S. A., & Tonegawa, S. (2020). Memory engrams: Recalling the past and imagining the future. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw4325
[19] Brodt, S., & Gais, S. (2021). Memory engrams in the neocortex. The Neuroscientist. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858420941528
[20] Stamatiou, Y. C., Halkiopoulos, C., Giannoulis, A., & Antonopoulou, H. (2022). Utilizing a Restricted Access e-Learning Platform for Reform, Equity, and Self-development in Correctional Facilities. Emerging Science Journal, 6, 241–252. https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2022-sied-017
[21] Choucry, A., Nomoto, M., & Inokuchi, K. (2024). Engram mechanisms of memory linking and identity. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-024-00814-0

[22] Gkintoni, E., Skokou, M., & Gourzis, P. (2024). Integrating Clinical Neuropsychology and Psychotic Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Analysis of Cognitive Dynamics, Interventions, and Underlying Mechanisms. Medicina, 60(4), 645. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina60040645
[23] Halkiopoulos, C., & Gkintoni, E. (2024). Leveraging AI in e-learning: Personalized learning and adaptive assessment through cognitive neuropsychology—A systematic analysis. Electronics. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183762
[24] Gkintoni E., Nikolaou G. (2024). The Cross-Cultural Validation of Neuropsychological Assessments and Their Clinical Applications in Cognitive Behavioral Therapy: A Scoping Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2024 Aug 22;21(8):1110. doi: 10.3390/ijerph21081110
[25] Gkintoni, E., Dimakos, I., Halkiopoulos, C., Antonopoulou, H. (2023). Contribution of Neuroscience to Educational Praxis: A Systematic Review. Emerging Science Journal. Emerging Science Journal. Special Issue "Current Issues, Trends, and New Ideas in Education" DOI: 10.28991/ESJ-2023-SIED2-012
[26] Gkintoni, E. (2023). Clinical neuropsychological characteristics of bipolar disorder, with a focus on cognitive and linguistic pattern: a conceptual analysis. F1000Research, 12, 1235. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.141599.1
[27] Sandrone, S., & Carlson, C. (2021). Gamification and game-based education in neurology and neuroscience: Applications, challenges, and opportunities. Brain Disorders. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dscb.2021.100008
[28] Antonopoulou, H., Theodorakopoulos, L., Halkiopoulos, C., & Mamalougkou, V. (2023). Utilizing Machine Learning to Reassess the Predictability of Bank Stocks. Emerging Science Journal, 7(3), 724–732. https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2023-07-03-04
[29] Bakalis, A., Halkiopoulos, C., & Antonopoulou, H. (2024). The Digital Transformation of Tourism. Case Study of Greek Tourism. Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics, 121–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54338-8_9
[30] Gkintoni, E., Halkiopoulos, C., Antonopoulou, H. (2022). Neuroleadership an Asset in Educational Settings: An Overview. Emerging Science Journal. Emerging Science Journal, 6(4), 893–904. DOI:10.28991/esj-2022-06-04-016
[31] Serice, L. (). Prisms of neuroscience: frameworks for thinking about educational gamification. AI. Available from intechopen.com
[32] Yıldırım, İ., & Şen, S. (2021). The effects of gamification on students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis study. Interactive Learning Environments. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1636089
[33] Huang, R., Ritzhaupt, A. D., Sommer, M., Zhu, J., Stephen, A., Valle, N., ... & Li, J. (2020). The impact of gamification in educational settings on student learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68, 1875-1901. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09807-z
[34] Sortwell, A., Trimble, K., Ferraz, R., Geelan, D., Hine, G., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Carter-Thuillier, B., Gkintoni, E., Xuan, Q. (2024). A Systematic Review of Meta-Analyses on the Impact of Formative Assessment on K-12 Students' Learning: Toward Sustainable Quality Education. Sustainability, 16, 7826. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177826
[35] Putz, L. M., Hofbauer, F., & Treiblmaier, H. (2020). Can gamification help to improve education? Findings from a longitudinal study. Computers in Human Behavior. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106392
[36] Halkiopoulos, C., Antonopoulou, H., Gkintoni, E., Aroutzidis, A. (2021). Neuromarketing as an Indicator of Cognitive Consumer Behavior in Decision Making Process of Tourism Destination. In: Katsoni, V., Şerban, A.C. (eds) Transcending Borders in Tourism Through Innovation and Cultural Heritage. Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92491-1_41
[37] Halkiopoulos, C., Antonopoulou, H., Gkintoni, E., & Aroutzidis, A. (2022). Neuromarketing as an Indicator of Cognitive Consumer Behavior in Decision-Making Process of Tourism destination—An Overview. Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics, 679–697. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92491-1_41
[38] Giannoulis, A., Antonopoulou, H., & Halkiopoulos, C. (2022). EDUCATIONAL LEARNING METHODS WITH GAMIFICATION ASPECTS FOR INMATES DURING PANDEMIC. EDULEARN22 Proceedings, 1, 5746–5751. https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2022.1351
[39] Qiao, S., Yeung, S. S. S., Zainuddin, Z., Ng, D. T. K., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Examining the effects of mixed and non-digital gamification on students' learning performance, cognitive engagement, and course satisfaction. British Journal of Educational Technology, 54(1), 394-413. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13249
[40] Gkintoni, E., Dimakos, I. (2022). An Overview of Cognitive Neuroscience in Education. 14th Annual International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies, 4th – 6th July, Mallorca, Spain. DOI:10.21125/edulearn.2022.1343
[41] Gkintoni, E., Meintani, P.M., Dimakos, I. (2021). Neurocognitive and Emotional Parameters in Learning and Education Process. 14th Annual International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation, 8th-10th November, Seville, Spain. DOI:10.21125/iceri.2021.0659

[42] Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulos, C., Barlou, O., & Beligiannis, G. N. (2021b). Associations between Traditional and Digital Leadership in Academic Environment: During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Emerging Science Journal, 5(4), pp.405–428. DOI:10.28991/esj-2021-01286.
[43] Sortwell, A., Evgenia, G., Zagarella, S., Granacher, U., Forte, P., Ferraz, R., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Carter-Thuillier, B., Konukman, F., Nouri, A., Bentley, B., Marandi, P., & Jemni, M. (2023). Making neuroscience a priority in Initial Teacher Education curricula: a call for bridging the gap between research and future practices in the classroom. Neuroscience Research Notes, 6(4). https://doi.org/10.31117/neuroscirn.v6i4.266
[44] Tan, A. L., Gillies, R., & Jamaludin, A. (2021). A case study: Using a neuro-physiological measure to monitor students' interest and learning during a microactivity. Education Sciences, 11(8), 379. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11080379
[45] Hu, Y. Z., Urakami, J., Wei, H., Vomberg, L. H., & Chignell, M. (2024). Longitudinal analysis of sustained performance on gamified cognitive assessment tasks. Applied Neuropsychology: Adult, 31(4), 502-526. https://doi.org/10.1080/23279095.2022.2039931
[46] Theodorakopoulos, L., Theodoropoulou, A., & Stamatiou, Y. (2024). A State-of-the-Art Review in Big Data Management Engineering: Real-Life Case Studies, Challenges, and Future Research Directions. Eng, 5(3), 1266–1297. https://doi.org/10.3390/eng5030068
[47] Tzachrista, M., Gkintoni, E., & Halkiopoulos, C. (2023). Neurocognitive Profile of Creativity in Improving Academic Performance—A Scoping Review. Education Sciences, 13(11), 1127. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13111127
[48] Antonopoulou, H., Giannoulis, A., Theodorakopoulos, L., & Halkiopoulos, C. (2022). Distance Education Opportunities in the Fields of Social Justice, Equality, and Human Rights for Inmates During Pandemic. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4019002
[49] Li, H., Majumdar, R., Chen, M. R. A., & Ogata, H. (2021). Goal-oriented active learning (GOAL) system to promote reading engagement, self-directed learning behavior, and motivation in extensive reading. Computers & Education. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104239
[50] Gkintoni, E., Vantaraki, F., Skoulidi, C., Anastassopoulos, P., & Vantarakis, A. (2024). Promoting Physical and Mental Health among Children and Adolescents via Gamification—A Conceptual Systematic Review. Behavioral Sciences, 14(2), 102. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14020102
[51] Filgona, J., Sakiyo, J., Gwany, D. M., & Okoronka, A. U. (2020). Motivation in learning. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies, 10(4), 16-37. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2020/v10i430273
[52] Antonopoulou, H., Giannoulis, A., Theodorakopoulos, L., & Halkiopoulos, C. (2022). Socio-Cognitive Awareness of Inmates through an Encrypted Innovative Educational Platform. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 21(9), 52–75. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.21.9.4
[53] Neugnot-Cerioli, M., & Laurenty, O. M. (2024). The Future of Child Development in the AI Era. Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives Between AI and Child Development Experts. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.19275.
[54] Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulos, C., Barlou, O., Beligiannis, G. (2019). Transition from Educational Leadership to e-Leadership: A Data Analysis Report from TEI of Western Greece. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 18 (9), pp.238-255. DOI:10.26803/ijlter.18.9.13
[55] Villalonga-Gómez, C., Ortega-Fernández, E., & Borau-Boira, E. (2023). Fifteen years of metaverse in Higher Education: A systematic literature review. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3302382
[56] KORKMAZ, B. C. (2024). An Investigation of Brain-Based Learning Principles in Today's Current Conditions. Anadolu University Journal of Education Faculty (AUJEF), 8(2). https://doi.org/10.34056/aujef.1331728
[57] Gousteris, S., Stamatiou, Y. C., Halkiopoulos, C., Antonopoulou, H., & Kostopoulos, N. (2023). Secure Distributed Cloud Storage based on the Blockchain Technology and Smart Contracts. Emerging Science Journal, 7(2), 469–479. https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2023-07-02-012
[58] Burke, S., Sharp, L. A., Woods, D., & Paradis, K. F. (2024). Enhancing parental support through parent-education programs in youth sport: A systematic review. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 17(1), 208-235. https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2021.1992793
[59] Theodorakopoulos, L., Theodoropoulou, A., & Halkiopoulos, C. (2024). Enhancing Decentralized Decision-Making with Big Data and Blockchain Technology: A Comprehensive Review. Applied Sciences, 14(16), 7007. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14167007
[60] Luan, H., & Tsai, C. C. (2021). A review of using machine learning approaches for precision education. Educational Technology & Society. Available from j-ets.net
[61] Riley-Tillman, T. C., Burns, M. K., & Kilgus, S. (2020). Evaluating educational interventions: Single-case design for measuring response to intervention. [HTML]

[62] Orsoni, M. (2024). Exploring the psychometric dimensions: theoretical and practical applications of artificial intelligence and gamification in education, learning, and neuropsychological development. University of Bologna. Available from unibo.it
[63] Khaleghi, A., Aghaei, Z., & Mahdavi, M. A. (2021). A gamification framework for cognitive assessment and cognitive training: qualitative study. JMIR Serious Games. https://doi.org/10.2196/21900
[64] Eng, C. M., Tsegai-Moore, A., & Fisher, A. V. (2024). Incorporating Evidence-Based Gamification and Machine Learning to Assess Preschool Executive Function: A Feasibility Study. Brain Sciences. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci14050451
[65] Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulos, C., Gkintoni, E., Katsibelis, A. (2022). Application of Gamification Tools for Identification of Neurocognitive and Social Function in Distance Learning Education. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 21(5), 367–400. doi:10.26803/ijlter.21.5.19
[66] Ferreira-Brito, F. (2024). An image-based applied game for neuropsychological rehabilitation: Assessing its feasibility among elderly with vascular mild cognitive impairment. University of Lisbon.
[67] Cheng, V. W. S. (2020). Recommendations for implementing gamification for mental health and wellbeing. Frontiers in Psychology. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.586379
[68] Gkintoni, E., & Ortiz, P. S. (2023). Neuropsychology of Generalized Anxiety Disorder in Clinical Setting: A Systematic Evaluation. Healthcare, 11(17), 2446. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11172446
[69] Rahimzadeh, V., Bartlett, G., Longo, C., & Illes, J. (2020). Interactive role-playing and health-related quality of life assessment in children with neurocognitive sequelae: A global neuroethics research approach. In Global Mental Health and Neuroethics (pp. 165-187). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815063-4.00011-3
[70] Antonopoulou, H., Halkiopoulos, C., Barlou, O., & Beligiannis, G. N. (2021a). Transformational Leadership and Digital Skills in Higher Education Institutes: During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Emerging Science Journal, 5(1), pp.1–15. DOI:10.28991/esj-2021-01252
[71] Aydınlıyurt, E. T., Taşkın, N., Scahill, S., & Toker, A. (2021). Continuance intention in gamified mobile applications: A study of behavioral inhibition and activation systems. International Journal of Information Management, 61, 102414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102414
[72] Wei, H. T., Kulzhabayeva, D., Erceg, L., Robin, J., Hu, Y. Z., Chignell, M., & Meltzer, J. A. (2024). Cognitive components of aging-related increase in word-finding difficulty. Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 1-32. https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2024.2315774
[73] Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., ... & Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice, and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 57, 101994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002
[74] Theodorakopoulos, L., & Theodoropoulou, A. (2024). Leveraging Big Data Analytics for Understanding Consumer Behavior in Digital Marketing: A Systematic Review. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2024(1). Portico. https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/3641502
[75] Antonopoulou, H. (2024). The Contribution of Mental Capacity to Personality Formulation. An Overview. Technium Education and Humanities, 7, 101–114. https://doi.org/10.47577/teh.v7i.9720
[76] Stamatiou, Y., Halkiopoulos, C., & Antonopoulou, H. (2023). A Generic, Flexible Smart City Platform focused on Citizen Security and Privacy. Proceedings of the 27th Pan-Hellenic Conference on Progress in Computing and Informatics. https://doi.org/10.1145/3635059.3635095
[77] Ahmed, I., Jeon, G., & Piccialli, F. (2022). From artificial intelligence to explainable artificial intelligence in industry 4.0: a survey on what, how, and where. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 18(8), 5031-5042. https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2022.3146552
[78] Theodorakopoulos, L., Karanikola, Z., Katsonis, N., & Papadopoulos, D. (2018). The Use of New Information and Communication Technologies In The Learning Process: A Case Study of Secondary Education In The Prefecture of Aitoloakarnania. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4209722
[79] Palamenghi, L., Barello, S., Boccia, S., & Graffigna, G. (2020). Mistrust in biomedical research and vaccine hesitancy: the forefront challenge in the battle against COVID-19 in Italy. European Journal of Epidemiology, 35, 785-788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00675-8
[80] Leis, O., Raue, C., Dreiskämper, D., & Lautenbach, F. (2021). To be or not to be (e)sports? That is not the question! Why and how sport and exercise psychology could research esports. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 51(2), 241-247. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12662-021-00715-9
[81] Gkintoni, E., Koutsopoulou, I., Antonopoulou, H., Christopoulos, P. (2021). Consequences of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Greek Students' Mental Health: Quality of Life and Trauma Stressful Events Correlation. 14th Annual International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation, 8th-10th November, Seville Spain. DOI:10.21125/iceri.2021.0663

[82] Traberg, C. S., Roozenbeek, J., & van der Linden, S. (2022). Psychological inoculation against misinformation: Current evidence and future directions. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 700(1), 136-151. https://doi.org/10.1177/00027162221087936